United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

September 17, 2024 Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

JOSEPH WEINMEISTER	§	
	§	
VS	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. H 23-3151
	8	
MARTIN O'MALLEY, Commissioner of	§	
Social Security Administration	§	
	U	

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Pending before the Court in the above referenced proceeding are the parties' Cross-Motions Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. Nos. 11, 13); and Magistrate Judge Bennett's Memorandum and Recommendation (Doc. No. 17). Neither party filed objections to the Memorandum and Recommendation and the time for doing so has passed.

Upon review, the Court agrees with the Magistrate Judge's conclusions. Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that the Memorandum and Recommendation (Doc. No. 17) is ADOPTED. It is further

ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. No. 11 is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART. Plaintiff's claims that the Administrative Law Judge (1) violated SSR 16-3(p) to find Plaintiff's symptoms "are not entirely consistent with the medical evidence and other evidence in the record;" (2) violated SSR 96-3(p) to find Plaintiff's ADHD impairments as non-severe; and (3) violated SSR 96-8(p) in failing to evaluate Plaintiff's abilities on a function-by-function basis are DENIED; and Plaintiff's claim regarding the Administrative Law Judge's findings at Step Three is GRANTED; and the Commissioner's Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. No.

13) is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART. It is further

ORDERED this case is **REMANDED** for further administrative proceedings to include an explanation on why Plaintiff's condition does not *equal* Listing 1.15.

SIGNED this ______ day of September 2024.

ANDREW S. HANEN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE